

**From:** [MCCLINCY Matt](#)  
**To:** [Chip\\_Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)  
**Subject:** RE: stormwater loading  
**Date:** 06/02/2011 08:09 AM

---

Hi Chip,

Looks like my message to Karen was a little unclear. Sorry about that.

Matt

---

**From:** TARNOW Karen E  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 01, 2011 4:32 PM  
**To:** [Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov)  
**Cc:** MCCLINCY Matt  
**Subject:** stormwater loading

Chip – Matt said you haven't talked with LWG yet about the stormwater loading model runs we're interested in seeing. If it would help – below is language from the Jan 2011 Stormwater Loading Evaluation Report, Section 2.2, page 5, that discusses this work. Would it be possible to ask them if they have completed the work described in the first paragraph (highlighted), and if so, if that could be provided to us sooner rather than later? Also, I'm curious about the recontamination evaluation they mention – is this expected to be part of the FS, or something that comes later?

Thanks  
Karen

**Results from the Hybrid Model (including stormwater loading estimates) will be used in the FS to understand the potential for recontamination and evaluate the long-term outcome of various sediment remediation alternatives evaluated in the FS.**

The stormwater loading estimates developed using the methods described in this report are not in any way intended for use in evaluating stormwater source controls at individual upland sites. These data were collected to address stormwater loading at the scale of the Hybrid Model in-river cells; certain assumptions such as application of the measured loading rate to the entire site will need to be further evaluated at a smaller spatial scale as part of the **recontamination evaluation**. LWG is evaluating the use of the Hybrid Model or other analytical approaches at a smaller spatial scale (i.e., AOPC-scale) in the FS.